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#### Abstract

This report discusses some aspects regarding the size of boolean functions, their minterm and maxterm concepts and some graph properties associated to boolean functions and circuits.
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Resumo: Esta monografia discute alguns aspectos envolvendo o tamanho de funções booleanas, seus mintermos e maxtermos e algumas propriedades de grafos associados a funções booleanas e circuitos.
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## 1 Introduction

This report discusses some aspects regarding the size of boolean functions, their minterm and maxterm concepts and some graph properties associated to boolean functions and circuits.

## 2 Basic Terminology

This section presentes the basic concepts for the understanding of the whole work.
Definition 2.1 Every function $f:\{0,1\}^{n} \rightarrow\{0,1\}$ is a boolean function.
$V(f)$ is used, to denote the set of variable of a boolean function $f$ or simply $V$.
$|T|$ denotes the cardinality of set $T$.
Definition 2.2 Let $f$ be a boolean function with $n$-variables, $V=\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$. $A$ minimal set $S \subseteq V$ is a minterm if and only if setting all variables in $S$ to 1, forces the value of $f$ to 1 .

Definition 2.3 Let $f$ be a boolean function with n-variables, $V=\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$. $A$ minimal set $S \subseteq V$ is a maxterm if, and only if, setting all variables in $S$ to 0, forces the value of $f$ to 0 .

Let $M I N(f)$ denotes the set of all minterms and $M A X(f)$ the set of all maxterms of $f$.

Theorem $2.1 \forall T \in M A X(f)$ and $\forall S \in M I N(f) \Rightarrow T \cap S \neq \emptyset$.
[Gur77] and $\left[\mathrm{KLN}^{+} 93\right]$ present theorem 2.2, which connect boolean function and AndOr trees.

To understand the theorem the following definitions are necessary:
Definition 2.4 A boolean function $f:\{0,1\}^{n} \rightarrow\{0,1\}$ is monotone if $\vec{X} \leq \vec{Y} \Rightarrow f(\vec{X}) \leq$ $f(\vec{Y})$.

Definition 2.5 (And-Or Tree) Let $V$ be a finite set. An And-Or tree is a rooted tree whose leaves are labeled with members of $V$, and whose internal nodes are labeled with the Boolean operation And $(\wedge)$, $\operatorname{Or}(\vee)$ each $x \in V$ labels only one leaf ot a And-Or tree.

Theorem 2.2 A monotone Boolean function $f$ that depends on all its variables has an And-Or tree representation, if and only if,
$T \in M A X(f), S \in M I N(f) \Rightarrow|S \cap T|=1$.
By the theorem $|k(f) \cdot l(f)| \geq n$, then $|k(f)|$ or $|l(f)|$ must be larger than $\sqrt{n}$, where $k(f)$ and $l(f)$ are used to denote the size of the largest minterm and the largest maxterm of $f$, respectively.

## 3 Estimating on the lower bound of maxterms

The main result and some useful concepts are presented in this section.
Definition 3.1 Let $f$ be a boolean function, $x \in V(f)$ and $H_{x}=\{S \mid S \in M I N(f)$ e $x \in$ S\}.

The degree of $x, Q(x)$, is

$$
Q(x)=\left|H_{x}\right| .
$$

Lemma 3.1 Let $Q(x)$ be the maximum of $f$.
If $|S| \leq k, \forall S \in M I N(f)$, then $|T| \geq\left\lceil\frac{|M I N(f)|}{Q(x)}\right\rceil$, for all $T \in M A X(f)$.

## Proof.

By the theorem (2.1), each $T \in M A X(f)$ has to intercept each $S \in M I N(f)$.
Assume that, for contradiction, there is $T \in M A X(f)$ such that $|T|<\left\lceil\frac{|M I N(f)|}{Q(x)}\right\rceil$.
In this case, there is $y \in V \cap T$ such that $Q(y) \geq Q(x)$.
However, by the assumption, $Q(x)$ is maximum.
Thus, there is not $T \in M A X(f)$ such that $|T|<\left\lceil\frac{|M I N(f)|}{Q(x)}\right\rceil$.

Lemma 3.2 Let $f:\{0,1\}^{n} \rightarrow\{0,1\}$ be such that $\forall S \subset M I N(f) \Rightarrow|S| \leq k, k \in \mathbb{N}$, where $Q(x)=1$ for all $x \in V$. Thus, $\forall T \in M A X(f),|T| \geq|M I N(f)|$.

## Proof.

By the theorem 2.1 each $T \in \operatorname{MAX}(f)$ has to intercept $S_{i} \in M I N(f)$ at least once. Since $Q(x)=1$ for all $x \in V, T$ has to intercept each $S \in M I N(f)$ at least once. Therefore, $|T| \geq|M I N(f)|$.

Theorem 3.3 (Principal) Let $f:\{0,1\}^{n} \rightarrow\{0,1\}$ be such that $\forall S \subset M I N(f) \Rightarrow|S| \leq$ $k, k \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus, $\exists T \in \operatorname{MAX}(f)$ where $|T| \geq|M I N(f)|^{\frac{1}{k}}$.

## Proof.

Assume that, there is $x_{1} \in V$ such that $Q\left(x_{1}\right)>1$. Otherwise, by the lemma (3.2) $|T| \geq|M I N(f)| \geq|M I N(f)|^{\frac{1}{k}}$ for all $T \in M A X(f)$.

Let $x_{1} \in V$ be such that $Q\left(x_{1}\right) \geq Q(y)>1 \quad \forall y \in V$ and

$$
H_{1}=\left\{T \mid T \in M I N(f) \text { e } x_{1} \in T\right\}
$$

By the lemma (3.1):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall T \in \operatorname{MAX}(f) \Rightarrow|T| \geq\left\lceil\frac{|M I N(f)|}{Q\left(x_{1}\right)}\right\rceil \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $Q\left(x_{1}\right)<|M I N(f)|^{\frac{k-1}{k}}$, the theorem holds.


Figure 1: Representation of $M I N(f)$
Otherwise, it is necessary to prove the theorem if $Q\left(x_{1}\right) \geq|M I N(f)|^{\frac{k-1}{k}}$.
Let $x_{2} \in H_{1}, Q\left(x_{2}\right)>1$ such that $Q\left(x_{2}\right) \geq Q(y) \forall y \in V-x_{1}$.
Clearly, $Q\left(x_{1}\right) \geq Q\left(x_{2}\right)$.
Define the set:

$$
H_{2}=\left\{T \mid T \in H_{1} \text { e } x_{2} \in T\right\}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall T \in M A X(f), T \cap\left\{x_{1}\right\}=\emptyset \Rightarrow|T| \geq\left\lceil\frac{Q\left(x_{1}\right)}{Q\left(x_{2}\right)}\right\rceil \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $x_{1}$ may not be the only variable with $Q$ maximum and $x_{2}$ may be presented in other minterms of $f$ that do not belong to $H_{1}$.

By (2), if $Q\left(x_{2}\right)<|M I N(f)|^{\frac{k-2}{k}}$, the theorem holds.
Otherwise, it is necessary to prove the theorem if $Q\left(x_{2}\right) \geq|M I N(f)|^{\frac{k-2}{k}}$.
Analogally,
For $x_{i} \in H_{i-1}, Q\left(x_{i}\right)>1$ maximum.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\forall T \in M A X(f), T \cap \bigcup_{i=1}^{r}\left\{x_{i}\right\}=\emptyset \Rightarrow|T| \geq \left\lvert\, \frac{Q\left(x_{r}\right)}{Q\left(x_{r+1}\right)}\right.\right\rceil \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $Q\left(x_{r+1}\right)=1, Q\left(x_{r}\right) \geq|M I N(f)|^{\frac{k-r}{k}}$ and $r+1 \leq k$. (See figure 2).

Note that, $Q\left(x_{r}\right)=1$ for some $x_{r} \in H_{r-1}$. Otherwise, two sets of $M I N(f)$ must be equal.

Thus, the process of picking up $x_{i} \in H_{i-1}$ will stop.
By the constrution $|T| \geq|M I N(f)|^{\frac{k-r}{k}} \geq|M I N(f)|^{\frac{1}{k}}$.

## 4 Final remarks

If $\mathrm{k}=2$ in the theorem 3.3 we have the following application in graphs.
If we consider the set of minterms as pair of vertex $(x, y)$ such that $(x, y) \in V$ and de maxterms as a cover of G.

Let $G$ be a simple graph, we give a bound relating the size of the largest minnimal cover of G and its number of variables. More specifically, we prove that if the largest minimal cover in G has $t$ vertex then $G$ has at most $t^{2}+t$ variables. Futhermore, we prove that this bound is tight.
Definition 4.1 (External neighborhood) Let $G(V, E)$ be a graph and let $C \subseteq V$. The external neighborhood of $C$ is the set

$$
D(C)=\{y \mid y \in V-C \text { and }(x, y) \in E \text { for } x \in C\}
$$

Definition 4.2 (External degree) Let $C$ be a cover of $G(V, E)$ and let $x \in C$. The external degree of $x, d(x)$, is the cardinality of $D(\{x\})$.

Definition 4.3 (Maximum External degree) Let $G(V, E)$ be a simple graph, $C \in V$ and $x \in C$. The external degree of $x$ is maximum if, and only if, $d(x) \geq d(y)$ for all $y \in C$.

Lemma 4.1 Let $G(V, E)$ be a simple graph and let $C$ be a maximal cover of $G$. If $x \in C$ is the vertex with maximum external degree, $d(x)>1$, then, there is $S \subseteq C-\{x\}$ such that:

- $|S| \geq d-1$ and
- $D(S) \in D(\{x\})$.

Proof. Let $D(x)=\left\{y_{1}, \ldots, y_{d}\right\}$. As $C$ is a cover of $G$, hence minimal, $C-\{x\}$ can not be a cover of $G$.

Let $S_{1}=C-\{x\} \cup D(x)$. Since $C$ is a maximal cover of $G, S_{1}$ can not be a cover of $G$.

Indeed, at least $d-1$ vertex of $C-\{x\}$ are edged to some vertex of $D(\{x\})$.
Let $S$ be the set of vertex of $C-\{x\}$ that are edged to some vertex of $D(\{x\})$.

When considering most of the vertexes wiht maximal external degree we have that the size of G is at most $d(x) \cdot(t-d(x)+1))$

Theorem 4.2 Let $G(V, E)$ be a simple graph and let $C$ be a maximal cover of $G$. If $|C|=t$ and $x \in C$ is the vertex with maximal external degree, then $|V| \leq d(x) \cdot(t-d(x)+1))$.

If we take graphs with a even number of vertex we have this upper bound is exact. As can be seen from the example below, (see figure 2), the upper bound is a tight one.

A maximal cover of $\mathrm{G}, \mathrm{C}$, has $|C|=2 t-1$ end $|V|=t^{2}+t$.


Figure 2: $\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{E})$ with $|V|=d(x) \cdot(t-d(x)+1))$
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